Stereoelectronic Control of Acetal Cleavage. Separation of the π -Donor and σ -Acceptor Properties of Oxygen[†]

By ANTHONY J. KIRBY* and ROBERT J. MARTIN (University Chemical Laboratory, Cambridge CB2 1EW)

Summary The lone pair electrons of the oxygen atom in ring A assist the fragmentation of the acetal (2ca), but not that of the isomer (2ta), with a *trans* ring-junction.

THE first evidence¹ that acetal cleavage is subject to stereoelectronic control² involved the hydrolysis of the tricyclic system (1). Compound (1c), with the ring-junction *cis*, which has a lone pair on the donor oxygen *antiperiplanar* to the *p*-nitrophenolate leaving group, is significantly more reactive than the isomer (1t) with a *trans*-ring-junction, which has not. Interpretation of the data is complicated, however, because the loss of the endocyclic leaving group is

† No reprints available.



readily reversible. As a result, the spontaneous hydrolyses of (1c) and (1t) have different rate-determining steps,¹ and are thus not directly comparable; while the acid-catalysed reactions give not a single product but a mixture of (1c), (1t), and open-chain compound.¹

We report our results with a new system (2, Ar = p-nitrophenyl[‡]) which avoids these complications and allows us to monitor the cleavage of a conformationally locked acetal by following the release of an exocyclic leaving group.



Loss of p-nitrophenolate from (2) would generate the oxo-carbonium ion (3), an acetal with a much better leaving group (aldehyde oxygen). As long as one of the lone pairs on the oxygen atom of ring A is in a position to participate, therefore, the loss of p-nitrophenolate is expected to trigger a concerted fragmentation, to form (4) directly. If acetal cleavage is subject to stereoelectronic control, such participation should be possible in the isomer (2ca) with the ring-junction *cis*, but not in (2ta), in which the conformation at the centre concerned is locked by the *trans*-ring-junction. In compound (2ta), therefore, the oxygen atom

TABLE. Relative rates of hydrolysis^a

	$k_{\rm rel}^{\rm b}$	ΔH^{\ddagger} /(kcal mol ⁻¹)	ΔS^{\ddagger} /(cal K ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹)
(5)	1.0	24.1	+ 2.2
(2ta)	$7\cdot 2 imes 10^{-4}$	34.1	+20.3
2ca)	0.12	$26 \cdot 9$	+ 7.9

^a Data refer to the spontaneous (pH-independent) release of ArO⁻ (p-nitrophenolate) in aqueous solutions of pH 9.75, at 39 °C and ionic strength 1.0 M. ^b Based on a figure of $3.22 \times 10^{-4} \, \rm s^{-1}$ for the hydrolysis of (5), measured at $39.2 \, ^{\circ}$ C and ionic strength 0.1 M by T. H. Fife and L. H. Brod, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, 92, 1681.

of ring A should be unable to exercise its π -donor function, and should act simply as a σ -acceptor, destabilising the oxocarbonium ion (3t), and thus slowing the departure of the p-nitrophenolate leaving group.

Our results are consistent with this expectation (Table). The spontaneous hydrolysis of (2ta) is 1380 times slower than that of 2-(p-nitrophenoxy)tetrahydropyran (5), while the isomer (2ca) is hydrolysed < 7 times more slowly than (5). These differences are entirely accounted for by



differences in the enthalpies of activation. The effect of the *trans*-fused A-ring of (**2ta**) is to increase ΔH^{\ddagger} by 10 kcal (42 kJ) mol⁻¹, compared with (5). For (**2ca**) the increase is only 2.8 kcal (12 kJ) mol⁻¹, so that the enthalpy barrier associated with stereoelectronic control in this system is 7.2 kcal (30 kJ) mol⁻¹. It is probably no more than coincidental that this figure is the same, within experimental error, as our estimate for this barrier in the hydrolysis of (**1t**). This work was supported by S.R.C.

(Received, 2nd August 1979; Com. 846.)

[‡] The reaction of 4a, 6, 7, 8a-tetrahydro-4H, 5H-pyrano[2, 3-b]pyran³ (0.5 g) with an excess of *p*-nitrophenol (2.5 g) in toluene (30 ml) containing acetic acid (0.5 ml) for 60 h at 70 °C gave, after alkaline extraction, a mixture of (**2ca**) (m.p. 84—85 °C) and (**2ta**) (m.p. 165—7 °C), which were separated by column chromatography. Only small amounts of the diastereoisomer (**2te**), and no (**2ce**) were present (n.m.r. spectrum).

- ¹ A. J. Kirby and R. J. Martin, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1978, 803.
- ² A. J. Kirby and S. Chandrasekhar, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1978, 171; P. Deslongchamps, Tetrahedron, 1976, 31, 2463.
- ³ Y. Bahurel, M. Lissac-Cahn, G. Descotes, J. Delman, and J. Duplan, Bull. Soc. chim. France, 1970, 4006.